

GAUSS-BONNET TYPE IDENTITY IN WEYL-CARTAN SPACE

O. V. BABOUROVA and B. N. FROLOV

Department of Mathematics, Moscow State Pedagogical University,

Krasnoprudnaya 14, Moscow 107140, Russia;

E-mail: baburova.physics@mpgu.msk.su, frolovbn.physics@mpgu.msk.su

Abstract

The Gauss-Bonnet type identity is derived in a Weyl-Cartan space on the basis of the variational method.

1 Introduction

In the modern gravitational theory quadratic Lagrangians are used widely that is stimulated by the gauge treatment of gravitation and the renormalization problems in quantum gravity.¹ In this connection the Gauss-Bonnet type identity becomes the object of a considerable amount of attention. The generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet formula to a 4-dimensional Riemann space V_4 was performed by Bach² and Lanczos³ and on the basis of the variational method by Ray.⁴ The Bach-Lanczos identity in Riemann spaces implies the one-loop renormalizability of pure gravitation.⁵ The generalization of the Bach-Lanczos identity to a Riemann-Cartan space U_4 was performed in.⁶⁻⁸ We shall obtain the Gauss-Bonnet type identity in a Weyl-Cartan space Y_4 that can be essential for the dilatonic gravitational theory with quadratic Lagrangians. On the preliminary version of our results see Ref. 9.

2 Preliminaries to the variational procedure

We shall consider a Weyl-Cartan space Y_4 that is a connected 4-dimensional oriented differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a linear connection Γ and a metric g (with the Lorenzian signature) which obey the constraints,

$$Q_\lambda^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}Q_\lambda g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_\lambda^{\alpha\beta} := \nabla_\lambda g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_\lambda := Q_\lambda^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (1)$$

The tensor $Q_\lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ is a nonmetricity tensor.^{10,11}

We shall use a holonomic local vector frame $\vec{e}_\mu = \vec{\partial}_\mu$ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$) with $\Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda$ as a connection coefficients. A space Y_4 contains a nonvanishing torsion tensor, $T_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda := 2\Gamma_{[\sigma\rho]}^\lambda$, in general. A curvature tensor of Y_4 and its various contractions read, $R_\mu^\nu = R_{\sigma\mu}^{\nu\sigma}$, $\tilde{R}_\mu^\nu = R_{\mu\sigma}^{\sigma\nu}$, $R = R_\sigma^\sigma$ and

$$R_{\alpha\beta\sigma}^\lambda = 2\partial_{[\alpha}\Gamma_{\beta]\sigma}^\lambda + 2\Gamma_{[\alpha|\rho]}^\lambda\Gamma_{\beta]\sigma}^\rho. \quad (2)$$

Let us consider the Lagrangian density,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \sqrt{-g}L_0, \quad L_0 = R^2 - (R_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta})(R^{\beta\alpha} + \tilde{R}^{\beta\alpha}) + R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}. \quad (3)$$

The variation of (3) with respect to the metric $g^{\sigma\rho}$ and the connection $\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\sigma$ reads,

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}H_{\sigma\rho}\delta g^{\sigma\rho} - \sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^{\nu\lambda}\delta\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\sigma + \text{total divergence}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\sqrt{-g}H_{\sigma\rho} := -2\left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta g^{\sigma\rho}}\right]_{\Gamma=const}, \quad \sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^{\nu\lambda} := -\left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\sigma}\right]_{g^{\sigma\rho}=const}, \quad (5)$$

$$H_{\sigma\rho} = g_{\sigma\rho}L_0 - 4R_{\alpha\beta(\sigma}{}^\tau R_{\rho)\tau}{}^{\alpha\beta} - 4R_{\tau(\sigma\rho)}{}^\kappa (R_\kappa^\tau + \tilde{R}_\kappa^\tau) - 4R_{\tau(\sigma}(R_{\rho)}^\tau + \tilde{R}_{\rho)}^\tau) - 4RR_{(\sigma\rho)}, \quad (6)$$

$$\sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^{\nu\lambda} = 4\nabla_\mu\{\sqrt{-g}[R_\sigma^{\nu[\lambda\mu]} + (R_\sigma^{[\lambda} + \tilde{R}_\sigma^{[\lambda})g^{\mu]\nu} - (R^{\nu[\lambda} + \tilde{R}^{\nu[\lambda})\delta_\sigma^{\mu]} - R\delta_\sigma^{[\lambda}g^{\mu]\nu}]\}$$

$$+ 2\sqrt{-g}[R_\sigma^{\nu\alpha\beta} + (R_\sigma^\alpha + \tilde{R}_\sigma^\alpha)g^{\beta\nu} - (R^{\nu\alpha} + \tilde{R}^{\nu\alpha})\delta_\sigma^\beta + Rg^{\nu\alpha}\delta_\sigma^\beta]M_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda. \quad (7)$$

Here the modified torsion tensor is introduced, $M_{\sigma\rho}{}^\lambda := T_{\sigma\rho}{}^\lambda + 2\delta_{[\sigma}^\lambda T_{\rho]}$, where $T_\rho := T_{\rho\tau}{}^\tau$ is the torsion trace.

3 The Gauss-Bonnet type Teorem in Weyl-Cartan space

The following Gauss-Bonnet type Teorem generalized to Y_4 is valid.

Theorem: The integral quantity,

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} [R^2 - (R_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta})(R^{\beta\alpha} + \tilde{R}^{\beta\alpha}) + R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}] d^4x, \quad (8)$$

over the oriented 4-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ without boundary equipped with the Weyl-Cartan differential-geometric structure does not depend on the choice of a metric and a connection of the manifold and is a topological invariant.

Proof. The main idea of the proof consists in the demonstration that the variation of the integrand of (8) with respect to a metric and a connection in a Weyl-Cartan space Y_4 is equal identically to a total divergence. In Y_4 the metric and the connection are not independent because of the constraints (1). Therefore one can vary the modified integrand expression,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} H_{\alpha\beta}{}^\lambda \left(Q_\lambda{}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} Q_\lambda g^{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (9)$$

which in Y_4 coincides with the integrand of (8).

The variation of (9) has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{-g} H_\sigma{}^\nu + \overset{*}{\nabla}_\lambda \left[\sqrt{-g} (H_\sigma{}^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_\sigma^\nu H_\tau{}^{\tau\lambda}) \right] \right) g_{\nu\rho} \delta g^{\sigma\rho} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \left(H_\sigma{}^{\nu\lambda} (Q_{\lambda\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} Q_\lambda g_{\nu\rho}) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma\rho} H_{\alpha\beta}{}^\lambda (Q_\lambda{}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} Q_\lambda g^{\alpha\beta}) \right) \delta g^{\sigma\rho} \\ & - \sqrt{-g} \left(H_{[\sigma\rho]}{}^\lambda + \frac{1}{4} g_{\sigma\rho} H_\tau{}^{\tau\lambda} \right) g^{\rho\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}{}^\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \left(Q_\lambda{}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} Q_\lambda g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta H_{\alpha\beta}{}^\lambda + \text{total divergence}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\overset{*}{\nabla}_\lambda = \nabla_\lambda + T_\lambda$. If the constraints (1) are taken into account, then the hypothesis of the Theorem is the consequence of the Lemma.

Lemma: In a Weyl-Cartan space Y_4 the following identities are valid,

$$(a) \sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^\rho + \overset{*}{\nabla}_\lambda (\sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^{\rho\lambda}) = 0, \quad (b) H_{[\sigma\rho]}^\lambda = 0, \quad (c) H_\tau^{\tau\lambda} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Proof. The statement (c) follows immediately from (7). Using (7) and the Bianchi identities in Y_4 one gets,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{[\sigma\nu]}^\lambda &= [8R_{\tau[\sigma}^{[\lambda\alpha]}\delta_{\nu]}^\beta + 4R_{\tau[\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\nu]}^\lambda + 4(R_\tau^\alpha + \tilde{R}_\tau^\alpha)\delta_{[\sigma}^\beta\delta_{\nu]}^\lambda \\ &\quad + 2(R_\tau^\lambda + \tilde{R}_\tau^\lambda)\delta_\sigma^\alpha\delta_\nu^\beta]T_{\alpha\beta}^\tau \\ &\quad + [2R_{\sigma\nu}^{\alpha\beta} + 4(R_{[\sigma}^\alpha + \tilde{R}_{[\sigma}^\alpha)\delta_{\nu]}^\beta - 2R\delta_\sigma^\alpha\delta_\nu^\beta]M_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let us consider the expression,

$$B_{\sigma\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\beta\kappa\omega}\eta^{\mu\tau\kappa\epsilon}\eta^{\phi\rho\omega\lambda}\eta_{\delta\sigma\epsilon\nu}R_{\phi\rho}^{\alpha\beta}T_{\mu\tau}^\delta, \quad (13)$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \sqrt{-g}e_{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor ($e_{[1234]} = -1$), and calculate this expression in two ways: the first way consists in combining the first factor with the second one and the third factor with the forth one, while the second way consists in combining the first factor with the third one and the second factor with the forth one. By equating the two results one gets that the statement (b) of the Lemma is valid.

With the help of the Bianchi identities one can find,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^\nu + \overset{*}{\nabla}_\lambda (\sqrt{-g}H_\sigma^{\nu\lambda}) &= \sqrt{-g}[\delta_\sigma^\nu L_0 + 4R_{\sigma\tau}^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}^{[\tau\nu]} \\ &\quad + 4R_\sigma^{\kappa[\tau\nu]}(R_{\tau\kappa} + \tilde{R}_{\tau\kappa}) + 2(R_\sigma^\tau + \tilde{R}_\sigma^\tau)(R_\tau^\nu + \tilde{R}_\tau^\nu) - 2R(R_\sigma^\nu + \tilde{R}_\sigma^\nu)]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us consider the expression,

$$B_{\sigma\rho} = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\delta\tau\phi}^\psi\eta_{\mu\nu}^{\phi\omega}\eta_{\alpha\beta\psi\rho}\eta_{\kappa\epsilon\omega\sigma}R^{\alpha\beta\delta\tau}R^{\mu\nu\kappa\epsilon}, \quad (15)$$

and calculate it in two ways, as before. After equating the two results one can be convinced that the statement (a) of the Lemma is valid. The proof of the Lemma is finished.

Using the Lemma one can see that in Y_4 the variation of (10) and therefore the variation of (8) is equal to a total divergence. Q.E.D.

References

1. K.S. Stelle, *Phys. Rev.* **D16**, 953 (1977).
2. R. Bach, *Math. Z.* **9**, 110 (1921).
3. C. Lanczos, *Ann. Math.(N.Y.)* **39**, 842 (1938).
4. J.R. Ray, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 100 (1978).
5. G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **20**, 69 (1974).
6. V.N. Tunjak, *Izvestija vyssh. uch. zaved. (Fizika)* N9, 74 (1979) [in Russ.].
7. H.T. Nieh, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 1439 (1980).
8. K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65**, 525 (1981).
9. O.V. Babourova, S.B. Levina and B.N. Frolov, in *Teoreticheskie i eksperimental'nye problemy gravitacii* (Abstracts of Contr. Pap. 9 Russ. Grav. Conf., Novgorod) (Moscow, 1996), Part 1, p. 43 (in Russian).
10. J.A. Schouten, *Ricci-Calculus* (Springer, Berlin, 1954).
11. F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke and Yu. Ne'eman, *Phys. Reports* **258**, 1 (1995).